Application Number		10/774,437	ntroi No.	PARK, YONG CH					
Document Code - DISQ		Internal Document – DO NOT MAIL							
TERMINAL DISCLAIMER	ÌΣ	APPROVED			☐ DISAPPROVED				
Date Filed : January 20, 2006	•	This patent is subject to a Terminal Disclaimer							
Approved/Disapproved by:									
Henry D. Jefferson									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## T.D. INFORMAL MEMO: DO NOT MAIL THIS MEMO TO APPLICANT

double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).	Date:			26-Jan-06	APPL. S. N:	10774437					
SUBJECT: Decision on Terminal Disclaimer(T.D.) filed:  INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropria form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is composed initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU.  The T.D. Is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).  The T.D. Is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has falled to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is m	To Exam	iner:		PATEL, GAUTAM	Art Unit	2655					
INSTRUCTIONS: I have reviewed the submitted T.D. with the results as set forth below. If you agree, please use the appropria orm paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS 15 An INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is composed initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU.  The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).  The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the term for the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has falled to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and f		<b></b>	<b>.</b>	PARALEGAL SPCECIALIST	Date Off Leasting	JEF-2D68					
form paragraphs identified by this informal memo in your next Office action to notify applicant of the T.D. If you disagree or have any questions, please see me or the Special Program Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORMAL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY. IT MUST NOT BE (1) MAILED TO APPLICANT OR (2) PLACED OF RECORD IN THE APPLICATION FILE. When your action is composed initial, date and return this memo to me. THANK YOU.  The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).  The T.D. is PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):  The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. los six the enforceable only during common ownership clause − needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the real and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the real and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the Office (see 14.30).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the pat				` *							
The T.D. is PROPER and has been recorded (see 14.23).  The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):  The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. Is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has falled to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.5. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 8.14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26	form par or have a	agraphs i any quest	dentified by to ions, please s	this informal memo in your r see me or the Special Progra	next Office action to notify applicar am Examiner. THIS IS AN INFORM	nt of the T.D. If you disagree AL, INTERNAL MEMO ONLY.					
The T.D. is NOT PROPER and has not been accepted for the reason(s) checked below (see 14.24):  The TD fee ofhas not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).		itial, date	and return t	his memo to me. THANK YO	oU.						
The TD fee ofhas not been submitted nor is there any authorization in the application file for the use of a deposit account  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).		The T.D.	is PROPER a	nd has been recorded (see 1	14.23).						
use of a deposit account  The T.D. does not satisfy Rule 321 in that the person who has signed the T.D. has not stated the extent of his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).		The T.D.	is NOT PROP	ER and has not been accept	ed for the reason(s) checked below	w (see 14.24):					
his/her interest (and/or the extent of the interest of the business entity represented by the signature) in the application/patent (see 14.26 & 14.26.01).  The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.				f	pmitted nor is there any authorizat	tion in the application file for the					
double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).  The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a term portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.			his/her inter	est (and/or the extent of the	e interest of the business entity re	T.D. has not stated the extent of presented by the signature)					
portion of the term of the entire patent to be granted" (MPEP 1490) (see 14.26 & 14.26.02).  The person who signed the T.D.:  is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The T.D. lacks the enforceable only during common ownership clause – needed to overcome a non-statutory double patenting rejection, Rule 321(b) (see 14.27.01).								
is not an attorney "of record" (see 14.29 and 14.29.01).  has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The T.D. is directed to a particular claim(s), which is not acceptable since "the disclaimer must be for a termina								
has failed to state his/her capacity to sign for the business entity (see 14.28).  is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
is not recognized as an officer of the assignee (see 14.29 & possible 14.29.02).  No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			is r	not an attorney "of record" (	see 14.29 and 14.29.01).						
No documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original inventor(s) to assignee has been submitted, nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			☐ has	s failed to state his/her capa	city to sign for the business entity	(see 14.28).					
nor is the reel and frame number specified as to where such evidence is recorded in the Office (see 37 CFR 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72). NOTE: This documentary evidence or the specifying of the reel and frame number may be found in the T.D. or in a separate paper of record in the application (see 14.30).  The T.D. is not signed (see 14.26 & 14.26.03).  The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			is r	not recognized as an officer	of the assignee (see 14.29 & poss	ible 14.29.02).					
The serial number of the application (or the number of the patent) which forms the basis for the double patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			nor is the re (see 37 CFR	el and frame number specifi 3.73(b) and 1140 O.G. 72).	ed as to where such evidence is re . NOTE: This documentary evidence	ecorded in the Office se or the specifying of the reel and					
patenting rejection is missing or incorrect (see 14.32).  The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The T.D. is r	ot signed (see 14.26 & 14.2	26.03).						
disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).  The period disclaimed is incorrect or not specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.03).  Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.											
Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The serial number of this application (or the number of the patent in reexam or reissue cases being disclaimed is missing or incorrect (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or 14.26.05).								
Other:  Suggestion to request refund (see 14.36). NOTE: If already authorized, credit refund to deposit account and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			The period d	isclaimed is incorrect or not	specified (see 14.26, 14.27.02 or	14.26.03).					
and do not check this item.  have appropriately notified applicant(s) of the status of the Terminal Disclaimer filed in this case.			Other:	Market and a second		The same of the sa					
					i). NOTE: If already authorized, cr	edit refund to deposit account					
Ex.Initials: Date: Log Date:	have ap	propriate	ly notified ap	plicant(s) of the status of th	ne Terminal Disclaimer filed in this	case.					
	x.Initial	s:	Dat	te:		Log Date:					

Docket No.: 0465-1141P

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Yong Cheol PARK

Application No.: 10/774,437

Confirmation No.: 001710

Filed: February 10, 2004

Art Unit: 2655

For: OPTICAL RECORDING MEDIUM AND

METHOD FOR FORMATTING THE SAME WITH IMPROVED COMPATABILITY AND

CONSISTENCY

Examiner: G. Patel

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER

01/23/2006 JADDO1

82 FC:1814

88688864 10774437

138.09 02

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

MS Amendment

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The undersigned is attorney of record for the assignee of the above-identified application. LG Electronics Inc. certifies that it is the owner of 100% interest in the above identified patent application as evidenced by the attached assignment, said assignment having been recorded in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on January 10, 2000 at Reel 010500, Frame(s) 0675-0677.

The owner hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the above-identified application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,963,523. The owner hereby agrees that any patent that is granted on the above-identified application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and the above listed patent are commonly owned. This

agreement runs with any patent granted on the above-identified application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assignees.

In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173 of the prior patent, as presently shortened by any terminal disclaimer, in the event that it later: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.321, has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminate prior to the expiration of its full statutory term.

Our check in the amount of \$1,150.00 covering the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(a)(3) and 1.20(d) is enclosed. If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: January 20, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

James M. Slattery

Registration No.: 28,380

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Docket No.: 0465-1141P

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Patent Application of:

Application No.: 10/774,437

Confirmation No.: 001710

Filed: February 10, 2004

Art Unit: 2655

For: OPTICAL RECORDING MEDIUM AND

METHOD FOR FORMATTING THE SAME WITH IMPROVED COMPATABILITY AND

CONSISTENCY

Examiner: G. Patel

## TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TRANSMITTAL

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Attached hereto is an executed Terminal Disclaimer in connection with the above-identified application.

The appropriate fee of \$130.00 (large entity) is included in the check attached hereto.

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayment pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.20 to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Dated: January 20, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Scott L. Lowe

Registration No.: 41,458

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

HUS

SLL/HNS/ags